



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,316	10/07/2003	Salvatore Rizzoli	3223	
75	90 03/01/2005		EXAM	INER
TIMOTHY J. KLIMA, ESQ.			CHOI, STEPHEN	
HARBIN KING	3 7 KLIMA			
500 NINTH STREET, SE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20003			3724	
	•		DATE MAILED 02/01/2000	_

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/679,316	RIZZOLI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen Choi	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is tess than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 07 October 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/28/04</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal D 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/679,316

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 7, 9, 14, and 16-17 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 7, 14, and 17, "a device such as will..." is grammatically not understood. In claims 9 and 16, is "cylindrical rod" the same cylindrical rod set forth in claims 8 and 15? Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. Assuming claim 11 depends on claim 1, claims 11-14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 3-7. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are replete with vague and indefinite language and should be carefully reviewed. The following are examples of many of the occurrences of such

Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

language. Applicant is required to review and correct all the pending claims. Failure to do so may result in the next action made final.

In claims 4 and 12, "the first suction roller" lacks positive antecedent basis.

In claim 6, it is not clear what is meant by "substantially radial blades".

In claims 10 and 18-20, "the shaft", "the flange", "the disc", and "the first roller" lack positive antecedent basis.

The dependency of claim 11 is not known. Claim 11 is assumed to depend on claim 1 for examination purpose only.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 6, 11, and 17, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 1097894 (hereafter '894).

'894 discloses all the recited elements of the invention including cutting means comprising a first aspirating conveyor (10) and a second conveyor (12) comprising a roller supporting a plurality of radial blades (14), and tension varying means comprising at least one revolving diverter element capable of cyclical movement between two limit positions (37). Regarding claim 17, a relative longitudinal corner edge (at 13). Applicant should note that the limitation "cutting means" is not in compliance with the Supplemental Guidelines published in the Official Gazette on July 25, 2000. Such

Application/Control Number: 10/679,316 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

limitations cannot be used to invoke 35 USC 112, 6th paragraph, and have therefore been given their broadest reasonable interpretation, without considering equivalence.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huck, Schroth et al., Muller, Benner, Jr. et al., and Elsner et al. are cited to show related devices.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

23 February 2005

STEPHEN CHOI